

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOSE ANTONIO ALIENDRES GARCIA,

Defendant.

**8:25CR111**

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Extend Pretrial Motion Deadline, (Filing No. 66). For good cause shown, the Court finds the motion should be granted in part. Defendant's request for additional discovery orders is denied at this time. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's Motion to Extend Pretrial Motion Deadline, (Filing No. 66), is granted.
- 2) Pretrial motions shall be filed by August 25, 2025.
- 3) In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the Court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between July 11, 2025 and August 25, 2025, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant this motion would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and the novelty and complexity of the case. The failure to grant this motion might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B). The court further finds speedy trial time is tolled as a co-defendant's prior motion to extend was granted, which time is excluded for multiple defendants pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3161(h); *see also United States v. Lightfoot*, 483 F.3d 876, 885-87 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 22nd day of July, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Ryan C. Carson  
United States Magistrate Judge